

NEW JERSEY MILITIA NEWSLETTER

Volume VIII, Issue No. 5

November 2002

All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.

-- Article 1, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

FBI Agents 'Miffed' when Gun Owner Contacts Media

Capitol Hill (CNSNews.com)

- Prior to the capture of sniper suspects John Allen Muhammad and John Lee Malvo, Maryland gun owners received surprise visits from the FBI as part of the investigation. One such gun owner had a surprise of his own for the FBI.

Jeff Brown of Gaithersburg, Md., received a voicemail message from an FBI agent who wanted to check a .223 caliber semi-automatic rifle Brown purchased in 1993. Brown drives a full-sized white panel van, the type of vehicle investigators believed the sniper was using.

"I expected, actually, to be pulled over and spread-eagle on the street at some point," Brown told CNSNews.com. "I knew their database had had a double hit. A white van and a .223 rifle? I knew they were coming."

The FBI reportedly wanted to verify the serial number of the rifle and confirm that it was, in fact, still in Brown's possession. The two scheduled an appointment.

But Brown later learned that the agents had tried at least once to make an unannounced visit, and only called because they were unable to catch him at home.

"I heard stories about guys having their guns confiscated, for so-called 'ballistic fingerprinting,' and not getting their guns back," Brown explained.

Brown contacted an attorney, who instructed him on preparing for the visit. So, when FBI Special Agent Greg Metzger and his partner arrived at Brown's home,

they were greeted by Brown and his wife, Mary, along with reporters and photographers from various media outlets.

As Brown described the situation, the agents were "a little bit miffed."

"They were not happy," he observed. "They just were not interested in being around any cameras."

The agents asked Brown to step outside, away from the television crew, to talk. Brown stepped away from the door to speak with the agents, but still within view of the camera.

Brown began recapping the agreement he had made with Special Agent Metzger. But when one of the agents realized Brown was wearing a wireless microphone, he stopped the conversation short.

"Do you have a microphone on?" the agent asked as he reached toward the microphone clipped to Brown's shirt. Brown backed away and continued talking, but the agent interrupted him again.

"Can you do me a favor?" the agent asked. "Can you take the apparatus off that you have on? I'd like to speak to you privately."

Brown complied, but only after summoning his wife to serve as a witness. Out of the camera's view, and believing they could not be heard, the agents challenged Brown about the presence of the media.

"They were belligerent, at that point, with me. They weren't threatening me or pushing me around or touching me or anything like that, but their mannerisms and attitude quickly became offended and belligerent," Brown recalled. "I

was thinking to myself, 'See, this is what I was afraid would happen if you guys came into my house, especially if I was alone.'"

Parts of the conversation picked up by the camera's long-range microphone confirm Brown's account of what happened next.

"Why didn't you give us a chance to do what we said we were going to do instead of ambushing us with the media? Why didn't you trust us?" one agent asked.

Brown said it was not so much the words the agents used, as their attitude and body language that made him uncomfortable.

"There was some lecturing about it," he said recalling one comment that did unnerve him.

"They said, 'Don't you know people are dying and we're just trying to do our job?'" Brown recalled, "Of course, the inference was that I didn't care that there were people dying and I was trying to interfere with them doing their job."

The agents reportedly admitted that they had seized other rifles, allegedly with permission, to compare them to the ballistic evidence gathered from the crime scenes.

"They said they 'request' to take the gun with them and do 'ballistic fingerprinting,' as they call it," Brown recalled. "I just did not want to have my gun disappear."

Larry Pratt, head of Gun Owners of America, believes the agents "developed an attitude" because Brown challenged their attempts to violate his constitutional rights.

"The FBI is trying to put this guy on a guilt trip because he's 'not

cooperating' with the system but it's a totally useless system," Pratt argued. "They just assume that gun owners [are] all a bunch of suspects just for being gun owners and they should behave accordingly."

At the request of Special Agent Metzger, Brown instructed the media to stay outside his home, where they could see what was happening through a plate glass window. Brown had the unloaded weapon displayed in plain sight for the inspection.

The agents confirmed the serial number on the rifle. But that was not the end of the encounter.

"After they checked, they started [questioning Brown again], and that's when my wife stepped in and told them to leave," Brown said, noting that his wife formerly worked in law enforcement.

Mary Brown believed the agents were attempting to agitate her husband, hoping he would say or do something to justify their confiscation of his rifle.

"I could tell that they were doing it on purpose and I didn't like what they were doing to you," she told her husband. "So, I decided to just jump right in."

The agents left the couple's property, as they were ordered to do.

Jeff Brown does not believe the agents' reaction to the presence of the media, or their "brow-beating" tactics were justified.

"I'm not here to make them feel happy. I have to make sure my rights are not violated. I wanted to help, but this is not Nazi Germany," he explained. "I looked [Metzger] right in the eye and said ... 'I don't care whether you're upset about being ambushed by the media. I felt I needed some witnesses here with me.'"

Brown, a member of the National Rifle Association and former candidate for public office in Maryland, was also upset by what he perceived as a lack of honesty on the part of the FBI.

"[Metzger] wasn't upfront with me," Brown said. "They weren't truthful with me. They only told me the part they wanted to hear."

Debbie Weierman, a spokeswoman for the FBI, said the bureau would not respond to any questions about the encounter,

because the probe into the multiple murders was still in progress.

Pratt believes the response of the agents to the presence of the media shows that their main focus was not on finding the snipers but rather on sending a message to gun owners.

"They know it's not about crime control because, if they were really interested in finding the perpetrator they would have kept moving. Obviously this guy wasn't the guy," Pratt concluded. "What it's really all about is showing that the feds are in control in a very totalitarian sense of the word."

Columbia Feels Heat Over Bancroft Prize -

Some gun-rights groups are calling for Columbia University to rescind the Bancroft Prize it gave to a historian after an investigation found he "willingly misrepresented the evidence" in his work.

Michael Bellesiles announced his resignation from Emory University in Atlanta after an academic panel said his book, *Arming America: The Origins of a National Gun Culture*, showed "evidence of falsification," "egregious misrepresentation" and "exaggeration of data."

"Along with the prize, Bellesiles should be required to return the \$4,000 cash award that came with it," said Alan Gottlieb of the Second Amendment Foundation (SAF), based in Bellevue, Wash.

"The university is looking into this issue," said Columbia University spokeswoman Lauren Marshall.

Published in October 2000, the Bellesiles book was awarded the Bancroft Prize, considered the most prestigious award for history writing. Yet the book's reputation was diminished as scholars began exposing problems in Mr. Bellesiles' research methods.

Arming America claimed gun ownership was rare and militias were ineffective in early America. The book was praised by gun-control advocates, who said it debunked historical arguments for an individual's right to possess firearms.

"It is remarkable how silent those same people are, now that the

book's serious flaws have been revealed," said Dave LaCourse, public affairs director for the SAF. He said that "Bellesiles' bogus research" was used last year in legal briefs against rights to private gun ownership in the Texas case of *U.S. v. Emerson*.

Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership also called for Columbia to rescind the award.

However, the nation's largest organization of gun owners has not joined the demand that Columbia rescind its Bancroft award to Mr. Bellesiles.

"We think certainly the work has been judged by a jury of professor Bellesiles' peers," said Bill Parkerson, spokesman for the 4-million-member National Rifle Association.

Emory University announced Mr. Bellesiles' resignation eight months after the university began an investigation of the accusations of research misconduct against him. A committee of three scholars - from Princeton, Harvard and the University of Chicago - was appointed to investigate.

The inquiry focused on Mr. Bellesiles' use of probate records - colonial court records of wills that he claimed showed low levels of gun ownership in early America.

"Every aspect of his work in the probate records is deeply flawed," the committee concluded.

The committee found Mr. Bellesiles, a tenured professor with 14 years at Emory, was "guilty of unprofessional and misleading work."

-- *Washington Times*, Oct. 30, 2002

The Truth Wins -- Antigun scholar Michael Bellesiles gets the boot

In March 2000, 47 law professors and historians sent a stiff letter to Charlton Heston, president of the National Rifle Association. They told him "the Second Amendment permits broad and intensive regulation of firearms" and urged him to move beyond such trifles as constitutional guarantees to "the real issue"--just how much regulation is needed to "prevent the killings and violence that plague our country today."

In the beginning of change the patriot is a scarce man, brave, hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, however, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot. - Mark Twain

This letter takes on new meaning now, as we consider the past 18 months of controversy over Michael Bellesiles' "Arming America." That award-winning book, published by Knopf in 2000, purported to show that guns were a rarity in early America, which would have had broad implications for the Second Amendment's historical context.

Within months of the book's appearance, however, a battle raged over Mr. Bellesiles' scholarship. It ended last week when a distinguished panel of scholars declared the work "unprofessional and misleading," and Mr. Bellesiles resigned from Emory University.

From the start, the Bellesiles battle was portrayed as the usual one--between right-wing gun owners and left wing gun regulators. But to look at "Arming America" that way is to miss the real divide.

Yes, the spokesmen for the opposing interest groups threw themselves into the fray. But soon the real split became one within academia. It pitted those who cared about scholarly integrity against those who were happy to ignore, or promote, Mr. Bellesiles' shoddy, perhaps fraudulent, work if it helped their political agenda.

Which gets us back to the letter sent to the NRA before "Arming America" was published. Mr. Bellesiles (himself a signatory) always claimed that his book wasn't political. But the letter suggests otherwise. Clearly his fellow scholars on the left saw "Arming America" as the best thing to happen to their cause in years.

At least three signers would write glowing reviews of "Arming America." Five others would pen laudatory blurbs for its jacket, with one of them, Michael Zuckerman of the University of Pennsylvania, gleefully describing Mr. Bellesiles as the "NRA's worst nightmare." Yet another would sit on the committee that gave Mr. Bellesiles the prestigious Bancroft Prize.

Even as this troop was singing his praises, another group of scholars--Jim Lindgren of Northwestern, Gloria Main of the University of Colorado, Randolph Roth of Ohio State, Robert Churchill of the University of Hartford, and many more--were scratching their heads. None had a particular stake in the gun-control debate, but all were experts in the historical detail on which Mr. Bellesiles based his

research--probate records, militia counts, homicide rates. They noted many things amiss in "Arming America"--facts, not interpretations.

These scholars produced a mountain of evidence showing the book to be irredeemably flawed. Their reward for taking scholarship seriously was to be largely ignored, or even chastised, by many of those Second Amendment scholars who so desperately wanted Mr. Bellesiles' work to be right.

Mr. Zuckerman was quoted in *The Nation* just this month saying that "the critics are casting about for a way to discredit" Mr. Bellesiles. Mary Beth Norton of Cornell (a letter signer) claimed in the same piece that Mr. Bellesiles' work was "just as plausible" as that of his critics. When I called to ask what she thought of the panel's findings, she said she had "no time to have this conversation."

When the *William and Mary Quarterly* asked four scholars to evaluate "Arming America," three wrote damning reviews. The fourth, Stanford's Jack Rakove (who also signed the letter to the NRA), chose to look not at the book's accuracy but at what the thesis meant for the Second Amendment. In a phone interview, he still maintains that the book, while flawed, "raises interesting questions." Other scholars disappeared. Garry Wills, a letter signer who wrote a glowing review of "Arming America" for the *New York Times Book Review*, has yet to publicly set the record straight. Ditto Carl T. Bogus (another signer) who wrote two laudatory reviews.

There are, of course, some exceptions. One of the signers of the letter, Stanley Katz of Princeton, ultimately sat on the panel that rendered its judgment last week. Another, Saul Cornell at Ohio State, told me that "the committee did a thorough job. Michael has had a chance to respond, and their criticism is very persuasive."

I know that others voiced their support for getting to the bottom of the affair--who put professional integrity above personal cause. Let's hope we will hear from them soon, now that the facts are in. Maybe they can all sign another letter, this time condemning the "NRA's nightmare" not the NRA.

-- *Wall Street Journal*, Nov. 1, 2002

"They will have no trouble finding you"

When the gun confiscation starts they will have no trouble finding you even if you live a rural area. They are doing away with the rural route number and box number system.

Counties all over the US have assigned a street name and house number to every house no matter how remote even if they do not receive mail there. They are requiring that each residence post their house number. For those who won't post a house number they only have to look at near by house numbers to quickly tell where your house is because the house numbers are assigned according to the measured distance from the start of the street.

Here's the set up:

"Our Addressing Scheme is based upon distance. Each 1/1000th of a mile (5.28 feet) is a potential address. We normally start at the beginning of the road (the intersection closest to the center of the County), and using a Distance Measurement Indicator (DMI), measure the distance to the center of the driveway of the structure for which we're assigning an address. The resulting number is the address. We deviate only slightly to designate addresses on the left or right side of the street. Odd addresses are always on the left, with even on the right."

Their system also assigns GPS coordinates to each house.

I believe they have done this so that with or without the transponders they will have no problem finding everyone when they start the Red Lists and Door to Door Gun Confiscations. The only problem with their plan is the juvenile delinquents who steal their street signs in many areas.

Excerpts From:

<http://www.cherokeecounty-911.com/911%20addressing.htm>

If you don't receive mail at your house why do you still need a 9-1-1 address? 9-1-1 needs to have a locatable address for every house that could potentially call 9-1-1. Even if you receive mail at a P.O. Box or from another location you must still have a 9-1-1 address.

Our Addressing Scheme is based upon distance. Each 1/1000th of a mile (5.28 feet) is a potential address. For instance, if

you live at 1525 Nowhere Lane, you live a little over one and one-half miles down the road on the left hand side. This method of addressing is invaluable for our Emergency Responders. As soon as our Dispatchers give them the address, they know exactly how far down the road the house actually is, and on which side of the road.

Q: Why do I have to stake out my driveway or have a permanent driveway before you'll assign an address?

A: As we stated above, the driveway is the key point of the address. It's the only part of the residence that intersects the road, and therefore our only reference point on which to build the address. Driveways are key to the whole operation. Many times we will measure from another known good driveway to the new one (add the address of the first driveway to the distance to the next). If you change your driveway's location significantly (more than a few feet), you're not only changing your address, you could be changing the address of present or future neighbors down the road from you.

Why Hollywood is Anti-Gun

Ever feel that Hollywood has an agenda when it comes to guns? That they let their liberal bias control their creative process? Guess what – they do.

While informed gun owners know that millions of people use their guns for self defense each year, often without firing a shot, Hollywood continues to portray gun owners as either incompetent or downright dangerous. Given the liberal leanings and anti-gun feelings of the creative community, it's unlikely that they actually have any hands-on experience with guns on which their portrayals can be based. However, institutional bias and peer pressure are ready substitutes for the truth in tinsel town.

If you doubt that there's a concerted effort by Hollywood to cast civilian gun usage in a bad light, here are some tips to Hollywood writers and producers on how to 'properly' portray gun use from the Entertainment Industries Council:

Attempt to highlight alternative resolutions to conflict rather than relying on gunplay as the only or automatic means of

settling confrontations. Clashes can be resolved by other less lethal means, perhaps by characters using their wits and cunning to overcome opponents.

Consider highlighting the emotional consequences for the shooter, such as feelings of guilt, remorse, personal angst, and so on.

Consider incorporating such realistic scenarios as:

The shooter or possessor of a gun being accidentally injured by it.

A gun accidentally misfiring while being loaded or unloaded by a criminal or other user.

A gun misfiring and injuring someone after being accidentally dropped.

Consider the story potential that may exist in a family filing suit against a gun manufacturer for injuries or death sustained by a defective firearm that misfired.

Of course, we can see where they're coming from. A lot of movies would be pretty short when practical, real world gun usage came into play.

Consider the lack of suspense and drama if proper gun usage was depicted in the following movies:

Cujo: "Oh no, I'm trapped in my car by a large, rabid dog. Where did I put my Glock?"

The Birds: "Boy, the air is so thick with birds, you don't even have to aim."

Signs: "Unarmed aliens are trying to kill us? Grab the AK's boys, we're going a-huntin'."

Se7en: "Some psycho is trying to force me to eat until I die. I think I'll shoot him instead."

Fargo: "Oh no, two men have broken into my house and are trying to kidnap me. How will I get their bloodstains out of my carpet???"

Friday the 13th: "If I can't actually kill Jason, he's going to look pretty damn funny walking around after I blow his head off with a 12 gauge."

Any Zombie Movie: "Well, we're trapped in a house surrounded by flesh eating zombies. Good thing we've got a couple of belt fed weapons around, isn't it?"

Silence of the Lambs: "Clarice, the man you are looking for is dead. He was shot by his last intended victim. Do you have any fava beans?"

The Fugitive: "Good thing my wife was able to shoot her one-armed attacker. He was trying to murder her and frame me for it."

As you can see, the average Hollywood movie would last less than ten minutes, including seven minutes worth of credits. Showing responsible, real world gun use would not only hurt the anti-gun cause, it would remove the contrived suspense that Hollywood thrives on. Thousands of highly-paid actors, writers and directors would soon be out of business. Depicting the kind of armed self-defense (and the equally taboo self-reliance) would be professional suicide for a profession that makes a living depicting stupid people doing stupid things.

--

Flashbunny.org

'Secure beneath The Watchful Eyes'

Across London, posters can be seen telling us all that we are 'Secure beneath The Watchful Eyes' of the Metropolitan Police.

I cannot tell you how much better that makes me feel. The imagery is pure 1930's and '40's and conjures up the 'Golden Age of Totalitarianism'.

Britain is already a Police State in that the means for total repression are already well and truly in place. As the poster indicates all too well, Britain is the nation most under surveillance on Earth. Echelon monitors our domestic communications, our Internet usage is logged for years due to the Draconian RIP Act, our locations detected via our mobile phones and logged, all ready for access on very low level authority. Civilians are not just deprived of any firearms, in reality we are forbidden to defend ourselves and our property with so much as a broom stick. Our right to trial by Jury faces abridgment, even our ancient protection of Habeas Corpus is now a dead letter under European extradition laws.

Yes, we still have a fairly free press, in so far as the media are strong enough to prevent restrictions against their actions... yet do not dare to make an allegedly 'racist' remark or pour scorn on someone's religion or make a joke about Wales: if you do then expect to find yourself up in front of the Beak justifying yourself under threat of fine or gaol, and forget saying "I was just exercising my right to freedom of speech".

Is it any surprise that the powers that be feel they can dare put posters announcing that you are 'Secure beneath The Watchful Eyes'. Secure? From what? Surveillance increases daily at the same times as crime soars out of control, so if we are not 'secure' from crime, then what exactly is being secured? We face many threats in the modern world but the biggest comes from the people who would watch our every action so that the State may choose to judge us when it sees fit.

--

www.samizdata.net/blog

Crooked Professors

ATLANTA (AP) – Disgraced Emory University professor Michael Bellesiles has resigned, saying he "cannot continue to teach in what I feel is a hostile environment."

Bellesiles' resignation takes effect Dec. 31.

A 40-page report written by scholars from Harvard, Princeton and the University of Chicago, said Bellesiles' failure to cite sources for crucial data in his book *Arming America* "does move into the realm of 'falsification.'"

Bellesiles is one of several professors to be accused recently of academic fraud.

In June, former Harvard professor Doris Kearns Goodwin resigned from the Pulitzer Prize board, four months after she acknowledged parts of a book she wrote were taken from another author without attribution.

In February, professor Louis W. Roberts resigned as chairman of the classics department and director of the doctoral program in humanistic studies at the University at Albany in New York. He had been accused of plagiarizing more than 50 pages of Latin translations from two other scholars.

In January, the late Stephen Ambrose acknowledged some sentences in his best seller *The Wild Blue* were copied from *Wings of Morning*, another book about World War II bomber pilots by Thomas Childers.

* * *

One Person Can Make a Difference!

Remember – survival is not mandatory

* * *

Ransom

Freedom, they've told you, is never free,
And sometimes we must water that liberty tree.
Never forget those who before us have come,
Whose lives were our ransom, who beat freedom's drum.

By the blood that they shed and the blood that they spilled,
And the powder and lead and the thick smoke that filled

The valleys and volleys that echoed and roared
Through the land like the thundering of the Lord,

By the grandmother's mourning, the young widow's tears
By the Valley Forge winters, the long hungry years

When they suffered and spent for us all they were –
Losing lives, homes, and fortunes all to ensure

That their sons would be free and that liberty tree
Would unfaltering flourish for you and for me,

By the many who perished, our freedom to win,
We cannot turn back and we will not give in

To the foe who now threatens what their lifeblood bought
For our Lord is still with us, we'll fight as they fought,

And the fools who would fell our dear liberty tree
Shall soon hang from its branches, plain for all to see.

The harder they hit us the stronger we'll rise,
Forever pursuing the truth they despise.

Though our road will be rough and the hard struggle long,
They shall not still our voices nor silence this song.

Like our fathers before us, our sons still to come,
Shall follow as freemen that firm-beating drum.

-- D.E., 2001

A.D.

Gun Control Hall of Fame (Celebrity and Media Wing)

Ed Asner -- actor; has aired gun control commercials

Alec Baldwin -- Hollywood actor, self-described "out-spoken supporter of various causes including gun control."

Hiromi Bando --- Beijing radio announcer in the glorious People's Republic of China

Bill Bradley --- Former senator and retired basketball player from New Jersey, 2000 Presidential candidate making gun control a major issue in his campaign.

Beau Bridges -- Hollywood star and major supporter of the Center to Prevent Handgun Violence

Christine Choy -- Professor at New York University, produced film on Yoshihiro Hattori titled *Wrongful Death: Hattori vs. Peairs*.

Alan Colmes --- Radio and TV personality of the popular Hannity & Colmes show, whose "mommy is a commie."

Michael Douglas -- Hollywood star, major supporter of the Center to Prevent Handgun Violence

Melissa Etheridge --- singer, participated in the Million Mom March in Washington, in 2000.

Larry Flynt --- millionaire pornographer and staunch financial supporter of gun control politicians.

Jane Fonda --- actress and world traveler, visiting Hanoi, Vietnam while Vietnamese patriots were rehabilitating Americans in modern prisons, thereby earning the title "Hanoi Jane".

Mikhail S. Gorbachev -- former Communist dictator of USSR

Tom Hanks, actor, Los Angeles, CA 90049 donated \$500 to Sen. Diane Feinstein, a nationally famous gun controller.

Rita Wilson Hanks, actress, Los Angeles, CA 90049, also donated \$500 to Sen. Diane Feinstein.

Michael Jordan --- retired basketball player who backs fellow retired basketball player and leading gun control advocate former Senator Bill Bradley

Courtney Love --- singer, participated in the Million Mom March in Washington, in 2000.

Akemi Mano --- Japanese singer-songwriter and member of the Yoshi Coalition, a group that began demanding the withdrawal of guns from U.S. homes following the

shooting death of Yoshi Hattori in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA.

Rosie O'Donnell -- TV personality, advocates gun control except for her body guards

Mary Tyler Moore --- perky actress

Gregory Peck --- venerable movie actor and Honorary Chairman of Sarah and Jim Brady Society

Rob Reiner -- Hollywood star and major supporter of the Center to

Prevent Handgun Violence

Susan Sarandon --- actress, participated in the Million Mom March in Washington, in 2000.

Willard Scott --- television personality, likes gun control.

Martin Sheen -- Hollywood star, major supporter of the Center to Prevent Handgun Violence

Sylvester Stallone -- super macho Hollywood star

Barbra Streisand --- Hollywood star of Jewish ancestry, not fooled by NRA propaganda that Hitler disarmed Jews and killed them.

Ted Turner --- millionaire cable television executive, his father committed suicide with a handgun. Turner is married to actress Jane Fonda

Tim Wheeler, editor of *People's Weekly World*, official newspaper of Communist Party USA. <http://vikingphoenix.com/public/gchof/cm-gchof/cm-gchof.htm>

And Speaking of Sen. Diane Feinstein...

As San Francisco's mayor, she was vehemently anti-gun. Her enormous cynicism and hypocrisy came to light when it was revealed that she owned two handguns and possessed a concealed carry permit.

Can you say "Elitist Pig"?

She participated in a gun turn-in program that was intended to create a statue from melted down guns. One of her police body guards let it slip that she contributed the cheap model for the melt-down,

while retaining her S&W for her own personal self defense.

20 years later and now she is in Congress where she still proclaims GUN LAWS ARE FOR EVERYONE BUT ME!

When asked why she carried a .38 Smith & Wesson concealed in her purse while promoting gun control for the rest of us, Feinstein answered, "I know the urge to arm yourself because that's what I did. I was trained in firearms. I'd walk to the hospital when my husband was sick. I carried a concealed weapon. I made the determination that if somebody was going to try to take me out, I was going to take them with me."

Only a couple of months earlier, however, Feinstein had gone on "60 Minutes" to announce, "If I could have gotten 51 votes in the Senate of the United States for an out-right ban...I would have done it."

To the NJ State Police

NJ State Police

c/o Col. Carson J. Dunbar, Jr.

Superintendent

Box 7068

West Trenton, NJ 08625

Re Proposed NJ Administrative Code regulations which would criminalize large capacity magazine ownership by firearms owners

Dear Col. Dunbar,

The executive branch of government should not be the sole defense against criminals. There is growing evidence that the American government is to blame for atrocities towards Americans. See, e.g., www.copvca.com, Anthony J. Hilder's video *Illuminazi 9-11*, Mike Levine, former DEA, BATF, US Customs agent, every Tuesday, 7 pm on 99.5 FM.

In light of the inept government, the individual citizens should NOT be deprived of a common law or Constitutional Right to keep and bear arms to defend

themselves, particularly during this time of national emergency with the threat of terrorism looming over our heads. The cover article of the enclosed issue of *New Jersey Militia Newsletter* explains my position on this.

The "militia" is defined in 10 USC 311 and in NJ Statutes Annotated (38A:1-2) as all able-bodied males between the ages of 17 and 45 years old. Furthermore, NJSA 38A:1-3(b) defines the "unorganized militia" as "... the members of the militia who are not members of the organized militia." It is too bad that the government schools do not: (A) teach the High School students this; and (B) provide proper instructions on martial arts and the use of firearms to prepare them to be COMPETENT members of the militia, adults, rather than the government dependent, dumbed-down, government school prisoners they are now. See

www.JohnTaylorGatto.com

Based on the foregoing, restrictions on firearms should be lifted or not implemented.

Sincerely,

FF

Ed.: Again, we'll do all we can to protect this militiaman's identity from our avid reader Mark Pitcavage, anti-militia ADL collaborator.

* * *

Supreme Court Justice Clarence Thomas, in a separate opinion on *U.S. v. Morrison*, Docket #99-5, May 15, 1999, told us WHY the U.S. Congress is supporting a growing police state in America. "...Until this Court replaces its existing Commerce Clause jurisprudence with a standard more consistent with the original understanding, we will CONTINUE to see Congress appropriating state police powers under the guise of regulating commerce."

NJM, P.O. Box 10176, Trenton New Jersey 08650

ISSN 1523-4657

www.njmilitia.org

militia264@aol.com

walnor@keepandbeararms.com

Middlesex County, Art (732) 607-0833

Wake Co, NC, Dave (919) 363-9410

Morris County, Bill (973) 361-3241

Newsletter Subscription - Donation \$10.00

Cash or Blank Money Order Only

Name _____

Address _____

City _____ State _____